

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/965,744	RUHR ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Necholus Ogden	1751	

All Participants:

(1) Necholus Ogden.

(2) Mara Liepa.

Status of Application: non-final

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 April 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

102 and 103

Claims discussed:

1 and 6-36

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.


SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Applicant's rep. agreed to include the limitations as described in the examiner's amendment. Accordingly, the claims are allowable over the art of record.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)